



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,868	01/10/2002	Michael Peters	6065-80125	9822

24628 7590 05/24/2006

WELSH & KATZ, LTD
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA
22ND FLOOR
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

KNOWLIN, THUAN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/044,868

Applicant(s)

PETERS, MICHAEL

Examiner

Thjuan P. Knowlin

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's RCE and amendment filed on March 20, 2006 has been entered. Claims 1, 16, and 31 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-40 are still pending in this application, with claims 1, 16, and 31 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu et al (US 6,611,590), in view of O'Neil et al (US 6,304,653), in view of Barnes et al (US 6,757,731), and further in view of Childress et al (US 4,682,367).
3. In regards to claims 1, 6, 7, 16, 21, 22, and 31, Lu discloses a method and apparatus of supporting client (See Fig. 1, caller 1 110-1, and caller 2 110-2) calls within a private computer network (See Fig. 1 and PBX 122) of an organization having a plurality of agents (See Fig. 1, call center 120-1 - 120-n, and agent workstation 132), such method comprising the steps of: detecting receipt of a call from a client (See col. 1 lines 48-59) of the organization through an interface of the private computer network of

the organization with a public communication network (See Fig. 1 and PSTN 154); determining a type of the received call (See col. 6 lines 22-28 and col. 7 lines 4-10); and selecting an agent of the plurality of agents based upon the determined type of call (See col. 1 lines 48-59, col. 4 lines 51-63, and col. 8 lines 37-42). Lu, however, does not disclose independently spawning a call processing application based upon the determined type of call and upon the selected agent with a first end of the independently spawned call processing application operatively coupled to a predetermined protocol stack of the selected agent and with a second end of the independently spawned call processing application operatively coupled to a protocol stack of the client, said independently spawned call processing application being operable to exchange information between the selected agent and the client. O'Neil, however, does disclose independently spawning a call processing application based upon the determined type of call and upon the selected agent (e.g. assistance personnel/party) with a first end of the independently spawned call processing application operatively coupled to a predetermined protocol stack of the selected agent and with a second end of the independently spawned call processing application operatively coupled to a protocol stack of the client, said independently spawned call processing application being operable to exchange information between the selected agent and the client (See col. 10-11 lines 60-31 and col. 11-12 lines 44-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ these features within the system and apparatus, as a way of making the connection between the agent and client less time consuming, and therefore, increasing the efficiency of services being

provided to the client. However, Lu, neither O'Neil disclose said protocol stack of the agent and protocol stack of the client being disposed inside the private computer network and wherein communication between the predetermined protocol stack of the agent and protocol stack of the client operates under a first protocol and communication between the protocol stack of the client and the client through the public communication network operates under a second protocol. Barnes, however, does disclose said protocol stack (See Fig. 2 and protocol stack 211) of the agent and protocol stack (See Fig. 2 and protocol stack 221) of the client being disposed inside the private computer network (See Fig. 2 and network device 200) and wherein communication between the predetermined protocol stack of the agent and protocol stack of the client operates under a first protocol and communication between the protocol stack of the client and the client through the public communication network operates under a second protocol (See Abstract, col. 4-5 lines 26-11, and col. 13 lines 9-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ this feature within the apparatus, as a way of enabling data and/or messages to be exchanged between the first protocol of the first protocol stack and the second protocol of the second protocol stack over the virtual connection. However, Lu, neither O'Neil, neither Barnes disclose continuously scanning idle input stack locations of a protocol stack of the client to detect received calls. Childress, however, does disclose continuously scanning idle input stack locations of a protocol stack of the client (e.g., person calling or user) to detect received calls (See col. 8 lines 16-32, col. 9 lines 22-33, col. 18 lines 29-44, and col. 20 lines 51-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious for

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ this feature within the system, as a way of permitting a user to establish a communication link on a channel after the channel has been dedicated, without requiring a control channel. This makes establishing a communication link, easier and quicker for the user.

4. In regards to claims 2, 17, and 32, Lu discloses the method and apparatus, further comprising detecting call associated information (call ID/reason) received along with the call (col. 1 lines 48-59 and col. 10 lines 24-53).

5. In regards to claims 3 and 18, Lu discloses the method and apparatus, further comprising selecting the agent for connection to the call based upon the call associated information (col. 1 lines 48-59 and col. 4 lines 51-63).

6. In regards to claims 4 and 19, Lu discloses the method and apparatus, further comprising identifying the client from the call associated information (col. 11 lines 48-58).

7. In regards to claims 5 and 20, Lu discloses the method and apparatus, further comprising retrieving client information based upon the identity of the client (col. 5 lines 58-66 and col. 11 lines 48-58).

8. In regards to claims 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, and 40, Lu discloses the method and apparatus, further comprising defining the call type as a VoIP call, a web page call, and a chat session call (col. 3 lines 28-59 and col. 13 lines 22-35).

9. In regards to claims 13, 14, 28, 29, 38, and 39, Lu discloses the method and apparatus, further comprising conferencing a third party into the call (col. 4 lines 38-63).

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion


11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Childress et al (US 4,658,435) teach a radio trunking system with transceivers and repeaters using special channel acquisition protocol. Bowen et al (US 4,360,927) teach a repeater trunking system.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan P. Knowlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thjuan P. Knowlin



WING CHAN
SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800